

Cosmic Web of Large-scale structure

dra • Redshift Surveys: 2dFGS, SDSS, 6dFGS

- insight into the formation of structure from gravitational instability
- non-uniformity in mass distribution produces motions deviating from Hubble Expansion - peculiar velocities!

Peculiar velocity surveys provide:

- an independent probe of cosmology in the low redshift universe; sensitive to mass fluctuations on the largest scales
- **direct, unbiased** tracer of the distribution of matter



6dFGSv - the (FP) peculiar velocity survey of 6dFGS; series of published papers -Magoulas et al. 2012, Springob et al. 2012, Johnson et al. 2014, Campbell et al. 2014. (submitted/in prep) **Magoulas et al. 2014**, Springob et al. 2014, Scrimgeour et al. 2014

The 6dF Galaxy Survey

- Combined *redshift (z-) and peculiar velocity (v-) survey* of the entire Southern Sky on the UK Schmidt Telescope
- 6dF z-survey: 137k spectra, 125k redshifts (Jones et al. 2009)
- 6dF v-survey: ~9000 Fundamental Plane distances and peculiar velocities; out to a redshift of cz < 16,000 km/s. *largest PV survey to date*



Magoulas et al. (in prep.)

Peculiar Velocity Distributions

• We analyse the velocity field using two approaches:

[1] Compute a Bayesian posterior probability distribution (below) for the distance/ peculiar velocity of each galaxy. Derive velocity maps and statistics directly. Springob et al., submitted

[2] Do a Bayesian analysis of the observational dataset as a whole, fitting models directly without computing individual peculiar velocities. Magoulas et al., in prep.

- We fit in log (distance ratio) units where errors are nearly Gaussian, take advantage of fully **forward fitting** (i.e. fitting in "data" space)
- In velocity units, errors are close to log-normal distribution



Gaussian distribution in log(distance) space

Smoothed 3D 6dFGSv velocity field



Springob et al. (submitted)

3D Visualisation by S2PLOT

Cosmic bulk flow

- The standard cosmological model (ACDM) predicts our bulk motion should converge to the Hubble Flow at large distances; convergence scale and consistency with ACDM is still a topic of debate!
- Watkins, Feldman and Hudson (2009) compiled all major peculiar velocity surveys into a *Composite* catalogue



- Watkins et al. used minimum variance estimate to measure bulk flow on specific scales; on 50 Mpc/h scale found ~400 km/s flow (left) in direction of Shapley
- This disagrees with ACDM cosmology with 98% confidence - but, see Nusser and Davis (2011) who find consistency with ACDM using inverse TF method

6dFGSv bulk flow



• The 6dFGSv total bulk flow is 366 km/s towards $(I,b)=(308^\circ, 20^\circ)$ in the direction of Shapley.

• In general, direction of flow is located in a narrow 10° wide strip, parallel to the ZoA (shallow surveys reflect LG motion, deeper surveys show motions due to the most massive structures).

6dFGSv flow as a function of scale



- We compare 6dFGSv to top-hat (blue) window function
- Caveats: neglects the differences in survey volume (hemisphere vs all-sky) and sky coverage (shallow/dense vs deep/sparse).

6dFGSv flow: minimum variance method



• An *alternative* analysis of the bulk flow applied to 6dFGSv which accounts for sparse sampling following the method of Watkins, Feldman & Hudson (2009).

Velocity Field Reconstructions



PSCz



Branchini et al. 1999

Comparison of the 6dFGSv *observed* and 2MRS *predicted* velocity fields: $\beta (= \Omega_m^{0.55}/b_{2MRS}) = 0.27 \pm 0.07$ Comparison with PSCz: $\beta (= \Omega_m^{0.55}/b_{PSCz}) = 0.58 \pm 0.11$

Residual flow (2MRS) - $|u|=319\pm41$ towards (312±20,38±12) and (PSCz) $|u|=289\pm48$ towards (319,23)

BUT at large distances, models disagree. Need better agreement between predicted velocity fields! ۲

Velocity Field Reconstructions



• BUT at large distances, models disagree. Need better agreement between predicted velocity fields!

Summary

[1] The 6dFGS peculiar velocity survey (6dFGSv) provides ~9000 early-type galaxies for studying the Fundamental Plane & mapping the local velocity field.

[2] Using peculiar velocity distributions from 6dFGSv, we map the velocity field in the nearby universe and compare to the density field derived from redshift surveys. We then forward-model the velocity field and 3D FP Gaussian simultaneously using a Bayesian analysis of the dataset as whole in order to determine β .

[3] This leads to new constraints on the redshift distortion parameter of β =0.27±0.07 (2MRS) and β =0.58±0.11 (PSCz) with 20% error (depending on model prediction), in agreement with previous studies.

[4] We recover a total bulk flow for 6dFGSv within ~160 Mpc/h of **366±58 km/s** towards $(l,b) = (308^{\circ}\pm9^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}\pm11^{\circ})$ suggesting **coherent motion towards Shapley**. However, we also recover a **residual bulk flow** of ~300 km/s (after subtracting the fitted 2MRS or PSCz predicted velocity field), implying that a substantial fraction of the total flow is not modelled by 2MRS/PSCz or originates outside the volume

Future Work: combine 6dFGSv (south) with velocity dispersions from SDSS (north) and 2MASS photometry to obtain a homogeneous *all-sky* velocity field sample